

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/729,288 | 12/08/2003 | Ileana Capote | 23078 | 1590 |
| 7: | 590 07/12/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Sanchelima and Associates, P. A. | | | GORDON, STEPHEN T | |
| Jesus Sanchelima, Esq. 235 S.W. Le Jeune Rd. Miami, FL 33134 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3612 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| Office Action Summers | 10/729,288 | CAPOTE ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Stephen Gordon | 3612 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a now the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become Africalise. | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 E</u> | December 2003. | |
| | s action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | ers, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under I | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | minom consideration. | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xammer, note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in A | pplication No |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | rity documents have been | received in this National Stage |
| application from the International Bureau | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not | received. |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview S | ummary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s |)/Mail Date |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-8-03</u> . | 6) Other: | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac | etion Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0604 |

Application/Control Number: 10/729,288

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the term "means" (i.e. legal phraseology) throughout. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the tradenames "Velcro" and "Ziploc" referenced 6 places total on page 6 – lines 9 and 20 should each appear in all capital letters.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, "the upper frame portion" and "the upper end" lack clear antecedent basis and could be replaced with —an upper frame portion—and —an upper end—respectively for clarity. Additionally, lines 7-8 are somewhat awkward, and —a—could be inserted after "for" of line 7 to clarify the claim in this regard.

Re claim 4, lines 2-3 are somewhat confusing, and —said—could be inserted before "contiguous" to correct the claim in this regard as best understood.

Re claim 5, lines 2-3 are somewhat confusing, and —said—could be inserted before "contiguous" to correct the claim in this regard as best understood.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/729,288

Art Unit: 3612

Page 3

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Chang.
- 6. Claims 1-2, as best understood, are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese '216.
- 7. Claim 1, as best understood, is alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese '227.
- 8. Claims 3-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note at least Cano additionally teaches a door rain shield assembly.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

stg